

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10

11 IN RE: STEVEN WAYNE BONILLA
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21

No. 2:25-cv-00080-WBS-CSK
No. 2:25-cv-00081-WBS-CSK
No. 2:25-cv-00084-WBS-CSK
No. 2:25-cv-00085-WBS-CSK
No. 2:25-cv-00115-WBS-CSK
No. 2:25-cv-00117-WBS-CSK
No. 2:25-cv-00119-WBS-CSK
No. 2:25-cv-00120-WBS-CSK
No. 2:25-cv-00121-WBS-CSK
No. 2:25-cv-00123-WBS-CSK

22 **ORDER**
23
24


25 Plaintiff Steven Wayne Bonilla is a state prisoner proceeding without counsel in the
26 above-captioned civil actions. On November 29, 2018, the Court declared Plaintiff a vexatious
27 litigant and ordered the Clerk of the Court not to file or assign a civil case number to any
28 proposed habeas corpus petition or civil action related to Plaintiff's criminal conviction in

Alameda County. (See Bonilla v. Fresno County, Case No. 2: 18-cv-2544-TLN-KJN, ECF No. 13). On June 14, 2023, the Court modified its vexatious litigant Order and directed the Clerk of the Court to open a new case for each attempted new pleading and assign it to the Court for review. (Id. at ECF No. 26.) If the Court determines the new filing is related to Plaintiff's Alameda County criminal conviction, the case will be ordered dismissed and closed. (Id.)

The Court has reviewed the complaints/petitions filed in the above-captioned cases and finds they are related to Plaintiff's Alameda County criminal conviction.¹

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that 2:25-cv-00080, 2:25-cv-00081, 2:25-cv-00084, 2:25-cv-00085, 2:25-cv-00115, 2:25-cv-00117, 2:25-cv-00119, 2:25-cv-00120, 2:25-cv-00121 and 2:25-cv-00123 are DISMISSED; the Clerk of the Court is directed to close these cases. No further filings will be accepted.

Dated: January 23, 2025


WILLIAM B. SHUBB
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

¹ In the captions of 2:25-cv-00080 and 2:25-cv-00085, plaintiff names the Kings County Superior Court. To the extent plaintiff intended to raise the claims raised in these cases in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California, this Court finds that the claims raised in 2:25-cv-00080 and 2:25-cv-00085 are related to Plaintiff's Alameda County criminal conviction.